Systematic Variation in Structural and Magnetic Properties of BiFeO$_3$ Nanoparticles by A-site Substitution
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ABSTRACT

Among various multiferroic materials, bismuth iron oxide (BiFeO$_3$) is a potential candidate as it has high Neel and Curie temperatures for ferromagnetic and ferroelectric properties. But high leakage current in bismuth iron oxide limits its applications in spintronic and data storage devices. In order to overcome this complexity calcium (Ca) doped BiFeO$_3$ nanoparticles are prepared using sol-gel method. Concentration of dopant ($x$ in Bi$_{1-x}$Ca$_x$FeO$_3$) is varied as 0.1-0.3. Calcium has ionic radius of 114pm so it replaces bismuth that has ionic radius of 117pm. XRD results show that peak position shifts to higher angles indicating that dopant has been successfully incorporated in the host lattice. At high dopant concentration peaks belonging to bismuth deficient phases are observed. The crystallite size decreases as the dopant concentration is increased to 0.2. Further increase in dopant concentration decreases the crystallite size. Ca doped bismuth iron oxide nanoparticles show ferromagnetic behavior. This ferromagnetic behavior arises due to suppression of spiral spin structure. Increase in dielectric constant and decrease in tangent loss is observed with increase in dopant concentration to 0.2.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bismuth iron oxide (BiFeO$_3$) crystallizes in ABO$_3$ type distorted perovskite structure that simultaneously exhibits ferromagnetic and ferroelectric properties. Such perovskite structures have attracted world attraction in various technological applications ranging from photovoltaic devices to spintronic devices. BiFeO$_3$ exhibits high ferroelectric Curie temperature $T_c$=1100K and Neel temperature $T_N$=640K (Fu et al. 2016, Riaz et al. 2014a,b). It has a long range cycloidal spin arrangement of 62nm that results in zero magnetic moment and antiferromagnetic nature. In addition, structural instability and leakage current are also associated with BiFeO$_3$ (Majid et al. 2015, Shah et al. 2014a,b).

Fortunately, all of these drawbacks associated with BiFeO$_3$ can be overcome with the help of different elements that can be substituted for Bi site or Fe site or both. Ferroelectric nature in BiFeO$_3$ comes from $6s^2$ lone pair of electron on Bi site while...
ferromagnetic properties come from the presence of uncompensated spins at Fe site. The substitution of different dopants in BiFeO$_3$ will not only help in overcoming the drawbacks associated with BiFeO$_3$ but also will help in changing its functional responses in different devices (Gao et al. 2016, Riaz et al. 2014a, Hasan et al. 2016).

Among various dopants, divalent metal cations that replace Bi sites are of particular importance. In this case charge compensation due to difference in valence between the host and dopant gives rise to: 1) Some transformation of Fe$^{3+}$ cations to Fe$^{4+}$ cation; 2) formation of oxygen vacancies 3) coexistence of different crystallographic phases. All of these three competing phenomena give rise to interesting properties that can open its path way for new era devices. Among various divalent dopants, Ca$^{2+}$ cation is of particular importance as its ionic radius (114pm) is close to that of bismuth (117pm) (Riaz et al. 2014a).

Ca doped BiFeO$_3$ nanoparticles have been synthesized using sol-gel method. Variation in structural, ferromagnetic and dielectric properties are correlated with changes in Ca concentration.

2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Ca doped BiFeO$_3$ nanoparticles were synthesized via low cost sol-gel method. Iron nitrate, bismuth nitrate and calcium chloride were taken as precursors. Iron nitrate and bismuth nitrate were dissolved in solvent (ethylene glycol) and heated to obtain Ca doped bismuth iron oxide (Bi$_{1-x}$Ca$_x$FeO$_3$) nanoparticles. Detailed sol-gel synthesis is reported earlier (Riaz et al. 2014a,b). Dopant concentration (x) is varied as 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3. Nanoparticles were structurally characterized using Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer. For magnetic analysis Lakeshore’s 7407 Vibrating Sample Magnetometer was used.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

XRD patterns for Ca doped BiFeO$_3$ nanoparticles can be seen in Fig. 1. Presence of diffraction peaks corresponding to planes (104), (110), (113), (122), (018), (214), (300), (125) indicate the formation of perovskite structure of BiFeO$_3$ with rhombohedral distorted unit cell. No diffraction peaks matching with bismuth rich or deficient phases were detected at dopant concentration of 0.1 and 0.2. However, at high dopant concentration of 0.3 peaks corresponding to bismuth deficient phase (Bi$_2$Fe$_4$O$_9$) were observed. It can be seen that peak positions corresponding to BiFeO$_3$ shifts to high diffraction angles thus indicating the decrease in lattice parameters. This decrease in lattice parameters is attributed to smaller ionic radius of Ca$^{2+}$ ions (114pm) as compared to that of Bi$^{3+}$ cations. In addition, strain relaxation takes place as host Bi$^{3+}$ cations are replaced with Ca$^{2+}$ cations with smaller ionic radius (Vagadia et al. 2013). Such relaxation results in shifting of peak positions to high diffraction angles.
Tolerance factor was determined using Eq. 1 (Riaz et al. 2015).

\[
\text{Tolerance Factor} = \frac{(R_A + R_o)}{\sqrt{2(R_B + R_o)}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where, \(R_A\) is ionic radius of A-site atom, \(R_B\) is ionic radius of B site atom, and \(R_o\) is ionic radius of oxygen ions. Tolerance factor (Fig. 2) decreases as dopant concentration increases from 0.1 to 0.3. This indicates reduction in Fe-O bond angle with increase in dopant concentration. The total volume is not occupied as Ca\(^{2+}\) cations replace Bi\(^{3+}\) cations. This results in rotation of oxygen octahedron that increases distortion in the host lattice (Ding et al. 2011).
Crystallite size ($t$) (Cullity 1956) and dislocation density ($\delta$) (Kumar et al. 2011) were calculated using Eq. 2-3

$$t = \frac{0.9\lambda}{B \cos \theta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\delta = \frac{1}{t^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where, $\theta$ is the diffraction angle, $\lambda$ is the wavelength (1.5406Å) and $B$ is Full Width at Half Maximum. Crystallite size and dislocation density are plotted as a function of dopant concentration in Fig. 3. It can be seen that crystallite size increases from 15m to 18.5nm as dopant concentration increases from 0.1 to 0.3 (Fig. 3(a)). Increase in crystallite size at low dopant concentration (0.1 and 0.2) indicates that Ca atoms are entirely dissolved in the host lattice. However, at high dopant concentration the possibility that Ca atoms occupy the interstitial sites increases. This results in decrease in crystallite size (Riaz et al. 2015). In addition, decrease in crystallite size at dopant concentration 0.3 is attributed to the presence of two different phases of bismuth iron oxide (Fig. 1).
Lattice parameters \((a, c)\) and X-ray density \((\rho, \text{g/cm}^3)\) (Cullity 1956) were determined using Eqs. 4-5

\[
\sin^2 \theta = \frac{\lambda^2}{3a^2} (h^2 + k^2 + 4h) + \frac{j^2l^2}{4c^2} \tag{4}
\]

\[
\rho = \frac{1.66042 \Sigma A}{V} \tag{5}
\]

Where, \(\Sigma A\) is the sum of atomic weights of the atoms in the unit cell and \(V\) is the volume of unit cell in \(\text{Å}^3\). Lattice parameters and consequently unit cell volume (Table 1) decreases as dopant concentration increases from 0.1 to 0.3. Decrease in unit cell volume is ascribed to slightly lower ionic radius of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) ions in comparison to \(\text{Bi}^{3+}\) cations. This reduction in unit cell volume then leads to increase in X-ray density of nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dopant concentration ((x))</th>
<th>Lattice parameters (Å)</th>
<th>Unit cell volume (Å(^3))</th>
<th>X-ray density (g/cm(^3))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>14.05</td>
<td>370.7425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>366.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>13.93</td>
<td>363.5915</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4 shows M-H curves for Ca doped BiFeO\(_3\) nanoparticles. These nanoparticles exhibit ferromagnetic behavior. On the other hand, bulk BiFeO\(_3\) exhibits...
antiferromagnetic behavior. Saturation magnetization increases from 0.02223emu to 0.09767emu as dopant concentration increases from 0.1 to 0.2. As dopant concentration was increased to 0.3 saturation magnetization decreased to 0.05254emu. BiFeO$_3$ has cycloidal spin structure of 62nm and if the crystallite size is less then 62nm BiFeO$_3$ will not have the random orientations of the spins. This results in ferromagnetic behavior in otherwise antiferromagnetic BiFeO$_3$. As it was observed in Fig. 3 that crystallite size of Ca doped BiFeO$_3$ nanoparticles is less than 62nm thus leading to ferromagnetic behavior of Ca doped BiFeO$_3$ nanoparticles. In addition, replacement of Bi$^{3+}$ with Ca$^{2+}$ cations requires charge compensation mechanism that can take place in two ways: 1) Creation of oxygen vacancies; 2) Conversion of Fe$^{3+}$ cation to Fe$^{4+}$ cations. Both of the above-mentioned factors lead to increased magnetization (Riaz et al. 2014b). The decrease in magnetization at high dopant concentration (0.3) is due to Bi$_2$Fe$_4$O$_9$ phase as was observed in Fig. 1. Moreover, tolerance factor (Fig. 2) decreases with increase in dopant concentration thus resulting in distortion in the lattice. This distortion also leads to suppression of spin structure and gives rise to ferromagnetic behavior of BiFeO$_3$.

Fig. 4 M-H curves for Ca doped BiFeO$_3$ nanoparticles

4. CONCLUSIONS

Ca doped BiFeO$_3$ nanoparticles were prepared using sol-gel method with variation in dopant concentration as 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3. XRD results confirmed the formation of phase pure BiFeO$_3$ at dopant concentration 0.1 and 0.2 while inclusion of Bi$_2$Fe$_4$O$_9$ was observed as dopant concentration increased to 0.3. Tolerance factor was decreased as dopant concentration was increased indicating the rotation of oxygen octahedron thus increasing distortion in BiFeO$_3$. 
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